



City of El Dorado, KS
220 E. First Avenue
El Dorado, KS 67402
Phone: (316) 321-9100
Fax: (316) 321-6282
www.eldoks.com

TO: City Commission
FROM: David Dillner, City Manager
SUBJ: Work Session Meeting Agenda
DATE: October 26, 2021

A Work Session is scheduled for October 27, 2021 at 5:00 pm in the Commission Chambers at City Hall, 220 E. First Avenue.

I. ITEMS TO BE PLACED ON THE REGULAR AGENDA

- a. None – CANCELED

II. ITEMS FOR PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION

- a. Proposed Park for West El Dorado
- b. Proposed Parks and Recreation Ordinances
- c. Proposed Repeal of Pit Bull Ordinances
- d. Proposed Kansas Collegiate Baseball League Agreement

III. ITEMS PLACED ON REGULAR AGENDA

- a. Meeting Minutes – June 21 Regular Meeting, October 18 Regular Meeting and October 27 Work Session Meeting
- b. Appropriation Ordinance

IV. REPORTS

- a. City Commission Reports
- b. City Manager Report

EL DORADO

K A N S A S

TO: City Commission
FROM: Kevin Wishart, Parks and Recreation Director
SUBJ: Proposed Park for West El Dorado
DATE: October 6, 2021

Background: Several years ago, the City acquired real property dedicated to the City for recreation/park use as part of the platting of the Griler Addition. Recent developments along Bluestem Drive and Norris Drive and existing residential neighbors near the designated park area warrant an investment by the City to establish a park at this location.

The west side of El Dorado lacks a City-owned playground. The closest such park facility, Graham Park, is located more than one mile to the southeast. The neighborhood has access to playground equipment at Oil Hill Elementary School, although it is only accessible to youth during school hours.

Policy Issue: Should the City develop a park on the west side of the El Dorado to ensure equitable services to the west side of El Dorado?

Strategic Priority: This proposal aligns with the “Quality of Life” priority contained in the existing Strategic Plan that says, “*The City of El Dorado desires to create a place where people choose to live and visit.*”

- Develop ***recreation opportunities beyond traditional sports*** so citizens may socialize and exercise.

The Comprehensive Plan, adopted by the City in July 2009, prioritizes the parks and recreation facilities for the community as follows:

Goal 8, Objective 8.1 – “Provide the most modern and safe equipment and facilities feasible to meet citizen demands.

- **Strategy 8.1.2** – Improve existing park and recreation facilities to keep up with citizen demand and industry trends.

Citizen demand for a playground in this neighborhood has increased due to the development of residential units in proximity to the reserved land. Even prior to recent development, residential development in the area provided sufficient justification for the investment of the City in a playground. Industry trends favor the development of accessible playground equipment to provide equitable opportunities to all citizens.

Further, the City approved its *Connect 2025 Parks and Recreation Master Plan* in 2017 to enhance recreation opportunities for the citizens of El Dorado. The plan provides for a park at the location discussed in this report. Developing a park at this location will enhance the quality of life for residents near the park and facilitate the progress on the implementation of the Parks and Recreation Master Plan.

Alternatives: Staff has determined the following options exist with respect to this issue (*listed in no particular order*):

- Continue to mow and maintain the area as vacant land;
- Utilize the land for a yet to be determined use; or
- Begin development of the site with a playground and consider additions as funding sources allow.

Fiscal Impact: Staff has determined that the development of a playground will cost between \$93,000 and \$192,000 depending on the final design. A standard playground will fall to the lower end of the cost estimate, while an accessible playground will cost more. Staff identified several sources of funding for the project to include 2022 excess sales tax funds and a re-allocation of excess sales tax dollars originally allocated to paving cemetery roads. The City has also identified several grant opportunities that could reduce the need for local funds.

Legal Review: The City Attorney has not reviewed this item.

Trade-offs: A standard playground will be an addition to the west side and will provide play opportunities for youth in the area and across the city at-large. An accessible playground meeting ADA standards will allow all citizens an opportunity for physical activity and play. Summit Park and North Main Park are the two existing all-inclusive playgrounds. A standard playground may cost less, although it will limit the ability of some residents to enjoy recreation amenities, especially on the west side of El Dorado.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends proceeding with the development of a plan to installation an all-inclusive, ADA playground on property owned by the City and designed for a park on the west side of El Dorado with the goal of having such playground installed within a year or two. Supply chain difficulties may be the single most critical factor influencing installation scheduling.

Commission Actions: The City Commission has the following options with respect to this issue (*listed in no particular order*):

- **Accept and approve the recommendation as presented by staff.** If this option is selected, staff will develop a proposal for presentation to the Excess Sales Tax Committee for funds from next year's allocation.

- **Modify the recommendation presented by staff.** The City Commission will need to discuss and guide staff on any changes they wish to see with the proposal.
- **Deny approval of the proposal.**
- **Request additional information from the City Manager.** The City Manager will collect such other information as may be necessary to assist the City Commission in making an informed decision on this matter. The City Commission will need to specify the information desired if this option is selected.

TO: City Commission
FROM: Tabitha Sharp, City Clerk
SUBJ: Proposed Parks and Recreation Ordinances
DATE: October 20, 2021

Background: The City Commission passed an Ordinance in July of 2014 setting 11 p.m. to 5 a.m. as hours during which all parks would be closed to the public. The continued vandalism of park facilities has led staff to believe that it is necessary to alter those times to coincide with daylight hours. The previous ordinance will be amended to include facilities that have been added since that original ordinance and new closing times of dusk until dawn.

Commission also recently requested that staff look into several issues involving animals that disrupted youth recreation activities. After reviewing the issue, we are proposing an ordinance that would disallow the presence of any non-service animal at youth recreational activities.

The final proposed ordinance for Chapter 18 imposes a fine of \$50 for any violation of this chapter.

Attachments:

Park Curfew Ordinance
Animals at Youth Recreational Facilities Ordinance
Parks and Recreation Fine Ordinance

Policy Issue: Should the City adopt additional regulatory framework regarding park hours and youth recreational activities?

Strategic Priority: The following strategic priorities may justify changes to the existing ordinance if the City Commission so desires:

VI. Quality of Life – *The City of El Dorado desires to create a place where people choose to live and visit.*

3. Strengthen existing offerings in traditional sports to provide the best experience for participants while creating an environment that fosters learning and development and creates fun experiences.

Regulatory Framework: The City of El Dorado Municipal Code Chapter 18 covers items related to parks and recreation. It currently includes ordinances previously approved regarding the smoking at youth recreational activities as well as hours of parks.

Alternatives: Staff has determined the following options exist with respect to this issue (*listed in no particular order*):

- The City Commission may elect to leave the existing regulatory framework in place without making any substantive changes.
- The City Commission may elect to repeal the current ordinance in its entirety and allow the defined breeds in a similar manner as other dog breeds.
- The City Commission may direct staff to modify the existing ordinance and designate such breeds to a dangerous animal ordinance.

Fiscal Impact: There would be little cost associated with the approval of these ordinances. Signage could be added to the park and recreation facilities at a cost of approximately \$100 per sign. Citations issued would bring a revenue of \$50 plus \$90 in court costs.

Legal Review: The City Attorney has reviewed and approved the ordinances.

Trade-offs: The approval of these ordinances could pull the Police Department from other patrols in order to address potential violations.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommend approval of the proposed ordinances.

Commission Actions: The City Commission has the following options with respect to this issue (*listed in no particular order*):

- **Accept the recommendation as presented by staff and make no changes.**
- **Direct staff to prepare an ordinance modifying the proposed ordinances.** The City Commission will need to define the desired changes to the ordinance.
- **Request additional information from staff.** The City Manager will collect such other information as may be necessary to assist the City Commission in making an informed decision on this matter. The City Commission will need to specify the information desired.

ORDINANCE NO. G-_____

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF EL DORADO AMENDING TITLE 18
OF THE CITY OF EL DORADO, KANSAS MUNICIPAL CODE**

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF EL DORADO, KANSAS:

Section 1: Chapter 18.04 of the El Dorado Municipal Code is amended to read as follows:

18.04.010 Definitions.
As used in this title:

“Dawn” shall mean the first appearance of daylight in the morning.
“Dusk” shall mean the state or period of partial darkness between day and night; the dark part of twilight.
“Park” shall mean an area of land, usually in a largely natural state, for the enjoyment of the public, having facilities for rest and recreation, owned by the City of El Dorado, Kansas.

18.04.020 Parks:

Central Park	200 N Griffith
Dog Park	400 E. Locust
East Park	100 S. Woodland
El Dorado Disc Golf Course	604 SW Haverhill Rd
Forest Park	900 N. Taylor
Gordy Park	300 S. Gordy
Graham Park	1600 Edgemoor Dr.
Library Park	600 S. Taylor
Martin Playground	400 S Haverhill
McCollum Park	200 E. McCollum
North Main Park	1000 N. Main
Rice Park	100 Random Rd
RIO Park	900 Osage
Riverview Park	800 River Road
South Summit Park	500 S. Summit
Summit Park	200 N. Summit
Walnut River Complex	1384 NE Shady Creek

Section 2: Chapter 18.08.010 of the El Dorado Municipal Code:

18.08.010 Park Hours:

- A. All of the parks in the city designated in this chapter shall be closed to the public from dusk to dawn except for during events sanctioned by the City of El Dorado, Kansas.
- B. The City Manager may approve events outside of these hours if request is received thirty (30) days prior to the event.

Section 3: That if any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is, for any reason, held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Commission hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses and phrases may later be declared unconstitutional.

Section 4: That nothing in this ordinance shall be construed to affect any suit or proceeding impending in any court, or any rights acquired, or liability incurred, or any cause or causes of action acquired or existing, under any act or ordinance hereby repealed as cited in this ordinance; nor shall any just or legal right or remedy of any character be lost, impaired or affected by this ordinance.

Section 5: That the City Clerk is hereby ordered and directed to cause this ordinance to be published once in the official city newspaper.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Governing Body on this ____ day of _____, 2021.

(SEAL)

Bill Young, Mayor

ATTEST

Tabitha Sharp, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM

Ashlyn Lindskog, City Attorney

ORDINANCE NO. G-____

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF EL DORADO AMENDING TITLE
18 OF THE EL DORADO MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO PARKS
AND RECREATION**

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF EL DORADO, KANSAS:

Section 1: Chapter 18.20.020 of the El Dorado Municipal Code is added to read as follows:

18.20.010 Animals at Youth Recreational Facilities:

- A. Animals are not allowed in common areas where youth recreational events are conducted by the City of El Dorado, KS; these areas include but are not limited to: the ball fields, in or around the bleachers, near the concession stands and on walking paths immediately around the fields.
- B. This shall not apply to any animal who meets the definition of assistance dog, guide dog, hearing assistance dog, professional therapy dog, or service dog under the City of El Dorado Municipal Code Section 6.04.010. All such animals must be identified as provided for in Section 6.14.010 of the City of El Dorado Municipal Code.

Section 3: All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Section 4: That if any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is, for any reason, held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Commission hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses and phrases be declared unconstitutional.

Section 5: That nothing in this ordinance shall be construed to affect any suit or proceeding impending in any court, or any rights acquired, or liability incurred, or any cause or causes of action acquired or existing, under any act or ordinance hereby repealed as cited in this ordinance; nor shall any just or legal right or remedy of any character be lost, impaired or affected by this ordinance.

Section 6: In all other respects, Chapter 18 shall be and remain unchanged.

Section 7: That the City Clerk is hereby ordered and directed to cause this ordinance to be published once in the official city newspaper.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Governing Body on this ____ day of _____, 2021.

(SEAL)

Bill Young, Mayor

ATTEST

Tabitha Sharp, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM

Ashlyn Lindskog, City Attorney

ORDINANCE NO. G-_____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF EL DORADO AMENDING TITLE 18
OF THE CITY OF EL DORADO, KANSAS MUNICIPAL CODE

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF
EL DORADO, KANSAS:

Section 1: Chapter 18.04 of the El Dorado Municipal Code is amended to read as follows:

18.04.010 Definitions.

As used in this title:

“Dawn” shall mean the first appearance of daylight in the morning.
“Dusk” shall mean the state or period of partial darkness between day and night;
the dark part of twilight.
“Park” shall mean an area of land, usually in a largely natural state, for the
enjoyment of the public, having facilities for rest and recreation, owned by the
City of El Dorado, Kansas.

18.04.020 Parks:

Central Park	200 N Griffith
Dog Park	400 E. Locust
East Park	100 S. Woodland
El Dorado Disc Golf Course	604 SW Haverhill Rd
Forest Park	900 N. Taylor
Gordy Park	300 S. Gordy
Graham Park	1600 Edgemoor Dr.
Library Park	600 S. Taylor
Martin Playground	400 S Haverhill
McCollum Park	200 E. McCollum
North Main Park	1000 N. Main
Rice Park	100 Random Rd
RIO Park	900 Osage
Riverview Park	800 River Road
South Summit Park	500 S. Summit
Summit Park	200 N. Summit
Walnut River Complex	1384 NE Shady Creek

Section 2: Chapter 18.08.010 of the El Dorado Municipal Code:

18.08.010 Park Hours:

- A. All of the parks in the city designated in this chapter shall be closed to the public from dusk to dawn except for during events sanctioned by the City of El Dorado, Kansas.
- B. The City Manager may approve events outside of these hours if request is received thirty (30) days prior to the event.

Section 3: That if any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is, for any reason, held to be unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance. The Commission hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance, and each section, subsection, clause or phrase thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses and phrases may later be declared unconstitutional.

Section 4: That nothing in this ordinance shall be construed to affect any suit or proceeding impending in any court, or any rights acquired, or liability incurred, or any cause or causes of action acquired or existing, under any act or ordinance hereby repealed as cited in this ordinance; nor shall any just or legal right or remedy of any character be lost, impaired or affected by this ordinance.

Section 5: That the City Clerk is hereby ordered and directed to cause this ordinance to be published once in the official city newspaper.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Governing Body on this ____ day of _____, 2021.

(SEAL)

Bill Young, Mayor

ATTEST

Tabitha Sharp, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM

Ashlyn Lindskog, City Attorney

EL DORADO

K A N S A S

TO: City Commission
FROM: David Dillner, City Manager
SUBJ: Proposed Repeal of Pit Bull Ordinances
DATE: October 12, 2021

Background: In 1993, the City Commission adopted an ordinance banning the ownership or harboring of certain defined dog breeds within the corporate limits of El Dorado. Specifically, the ordinance prohibits the ownership of the following breeds generally described as “pit bulls”: Bull Terrier, Staffordshire bull terrier, American pit bull terrier, American Staffordshire terrier, American Bully, dogs of a mixed breed or of other breeds than listed, which breed or mixed breed is known as pit bulls or pit bull terriers. This also includes dogs with the predominant appearance and characteristics of the defined breeds.

The ordinance received several challenges between 1993 and 2014, when motivated owners of specified dog breeds requested a change to the ordinance. After receiving extensive input from stakeholders, the City Commission decided to lift the prohibition on these breeds and directed staff to draft an ordinance allowing the breeds within a regulatory framework. The revisions also included an update to existing dangerous animal ordinances and created a tethering ordinance.

In early 2015, the City Commission adopted the current ordinance with the changes needed to allow the aforementioned breeds to reside within the corporate limits. Revisions to the ordinance have occurred at least twice since its initial adoption in 2015, although the regulatory framework remained much stayed the same.

Existing ordinances have not prevented incidents involving pit bulls, although it has provided a mechanism for regulating these breeds to minimize the potential for incidents that could result in human or animal bodily injury or worse. The City recorded twenty bite or attack incidents involving specified breeds over the prior five years. Of these, fourteen involved humans and six involved animals. Presently, there are eighty-one pit bulls registered with the City of El Dorado.

Policy Issue: Should the City repeal the existing regulatory framework for owning and maintaining a Staffordshire Terrier, or similar breeds, within the city limits?

Strategic Priority: The following strategic priorities may justify changes to the existing ordinance if the City Commission so desires:

VI. Municipal Operations – *The City of El Dorado embraces service delivery excellence and seeks to facilitate innovation and continuous improvement for the betterment of the community.*

- b. Strive for ***continuous improvement*** within the organization to reduce costs, increase efficiencies, and make municipal programs more effective for citizens.
- c. Facilitate ***citizen-centered thinking*** by actively engaging and collaborating with citizens on programs and services desired by the community.

Regulatory Framework: The existing regulatory framework governing the aforementioned breeds include:

- Specific registration form and \$50 annual permit fee (regular fee is \$5 for spayed/neutered, \$40 for unaltered animal);
- Kansas Bureau of Investigation background check with no felony convictions of anyone possessing or residing on any premises with a pit bull within prior five years;
- Implanted identification microchip to trace dog to current owner;
- Ownership limit of two dogs within defined breeds;
- Sterilization requirement;
- Required notification to permitting authority of defined events (i.e., change of address, change of ownership, death of dog, etc.);
- Chain or leash requirement if outside an approved, secured enclosure or fence; and
- Destruction of pit bulls found running at-large in violation of ordinance and who attack or inflict injury.

Alternatives: Staff has determined the following options exist with respect to this issue (*listed in no particular order*):

- The City Commission may elect to leave the existing regulatory framework in place without making any substantive changes.
- The City Commission may elect to repeal the current ordinance in its entirety and allow the defined breeds in a similar manner as other dog breeds.
- The City Commission may direct staff to modify the existing ordinance and designate such breeds to a dangerous animal ordinance.

Fiscal Impact: The City generates a negligible amount of revenue from the registration fee from the specified dog breeds. Therefore, the City anticipates only a slight reduction (i.e., less than \$500) in General Fund revenue. The City does have expenses beyond the traditional dog permitting process in the administration of the ordinance due to the additional requirements, although the expense is also negligible.

Legal Review: Per a broad legal review of the subject by the City Attorney, a pit bull ordinance does not expose the City to additional liability in the event an incident involving pit bulls were to occur. Other than when a dog belongs to the municipality (e.g. police or fire dog), a “special relationship” would have to exist between the city and the injured party in order for the city to have liability for the injuries. The city would have governmental immunity (and likely wouldn’t

be found to be negligent anyway), unless the injured person could establish a “special relationship.”

A special relationship requires an 1) assumption by the city, through promises or actions, of an affirmative duty to act on behalf of the party who was injured; 2) knowledge on the part of the city that inaction could lead to harm; 3) some form of direct contact between the city’s agents and the injured party; and 4) the party’s justifiable reliance on the city’s affirmative undertaking. *D’Ambra v. Di Donna*, 305 A.D.2d 958, 959 (N.Y.S. App. 2003).

Swietlik v. Town of Hamburg, 2013 N.Y. Slip. Op. 08752 provides a legal case where a city had a “special relationship” making it liable for a non-city-owned dog bite. The facts stated that the injured person had filed eight previous complaints about the same dog and city officials had visited the injured person’s house several times and assured her safety. The court found that the injured person justifiably relied on those assurances. Legal review did not find any Kansas cases where a person attempted to hold a city liable for a non-city-owned dog bite.

Failure to enforce the ordinance by the City may subject the City to legal claims, although the Kansas Tort Claims Act would limit the financial exposure of such claims. In addition, such claims would have to demonstrate gross negligence on the part of the City in enforcing the ordinance.

Alternatively, the repeal of ordinances affecting pit bull ownership will also not change the City’s liability with respect to such incidents. The City has discretionary authority to adopt ordinances regulating issues deemed in the public interest. Therefore, the City has no mandate to regulate pit bulls and would not have any additional liability exposure in the event that such an ordinance did not exist.

The City Attorney should review any proposed changes to the ordinance prior to a vote so the governing body understands any possible legal implications of changing the existing regulatory framework.

Trade-offs: The City plans to address incidents involving the specified breeds as a dangerous animal in the event the City repeals the existing regulatory framework for the specified breeds. Such a designation occurs following an incident and would trigger a host of requirements to include: annual application and fee (\$50), notification requirements, leash and muzzle requirement when dog is outside kennel or pen unless securely leashed, secure confinement requirement, dangerous animal signage, liability insurance coverage requirement (\$1.0 million coverage), microchipping, spay/neutering, and the sale or transfer of animal prohibited.

Staff Recommendation: Staff, including law enforcement and animal shelter staff, do not recommend changes to the existing regulatory framework governing the aforementioned dog breeds.

Commission Actions: The City Commission has the following options with respect to this issue *(listed in no particular order)*:

- **Accept the recommendation as presented by staff and make no changes to the existing ordinance.**
- **Direct staff to prepare an ordinance modifying the existing regulatory framework for Staffordshire Terriers and other defined dog breeds.** The City Commission will need to define the desired changes to the ordinance.
- **Direct staff to prepare an ordinance repealing the existing regulatory framework for Staffordshire Terriers and other defined dog breeds.**
- **Request additional information from staff.** The City Manager will collect such other information as may be necessary to assist the City Commission in making an informed decision on this matter. The City Commission will need to specify the information desired.

EL DORADO

K A N S A S

TO: City Commission
FROM: Kevin Wishart, Parks and Recreation Director
SUBJ: 2022 Summer Baseball
DATE: October 6, 2021

Background: For many years, the City of El Dorado hosted and partially funded the El Dorado Broncos summer baseball team. The team played games at McDonald Stadium and offered collegiate level play during the months of June and July. The past two seasons, the Broncos were unable to form a team; year one largely due to the pandemic and year two due to lack of players.

A proposal from the Kansas Collegiate League is attached for consideration. This team (yet to be named) would play sixteen to twenty home games at McDonald Stadium and be responsible for concourse, grandstand and restroom maintenance. The team would also sell concessions or partner with local organizations to offer concessions. The team has agreed to schedule a home game on July 4th to coordinate with the fireworks show.

Policy Issue: Should the City enter into an agreement with the Kansas Collegiate Baseball League to bring an entertainment option to the community?

Strategic Priority: This proposal aligns with the “Quality of Life” priority contained in the existing Strategic Plan that says, “*The City of El Dorado desires to create a place where people choose to live and visit.*”

- Develop ***fun and engaging events and activities*** so people have something to do after work and school.

Alternatives: Staff has determined the following options exist with respect to this issue (*listed in no particular order*):

- Accept the proposal from the Kansas Collegiate League; giving them scheduling priority for the 2022 baseball season.
- Accept the proposal from the Kansas Collegiate League with the understanding that they would schedule after the El Dorado Broncos.

Fiscal Impact: Kansas Collegiate League will pay the City \$2,000 for stadium rental for the 2022 season. They are not asking for any financial contribution from the City. The El Dorado Broncos, if playing, would not pay any facility rental and have historically asked for \$10,000 from the City to fund their operations.

Legal Review: The City Attorney has not reviewed the proposal.

Trade-offs: Only play recreation league, American Legion, and special event games at the stadium during the summer months.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends entering into an agreement with the Kansas Collegiate League for the 2022 baseball season.

Commission Actions: The City Commission has the following options with respect to this issue *(listed in no particular order)*:

- **Accept and approve the recommendation as presented by staff.**
- **Modify the recommendation presented by staff.**
- **Deny approval of the proposal.**
- **Request additional information from the City Manager.** The City Manager will collect such other information as may be necessary to assist the City Commission in making an informed decision on this matter. The City Commission will need to specify the information desired if this option is selected.

Team Proposal – El Dorado Kansas

The Kansas Collegiate League Baseball is a summer collegiate baseball program that keeps baseball players active through the summer. We recruit athletes around the globe and have one of the most competitive leagues in the region. Our goal is to provide an experience for our athletes that involves being community involved, i.e., Youth mini camps, city, and community partnerships where the team participates in the community events throughout the summer season. The summer season typically operates for 2 months; starting at the end of May and concluding the first week in August.

Team Name - TBD

Teams are owned and operated by the KCLB; it is an applied non-profit entity under the Kansas Collegiate League Baseball umbrella.

Team Operations-

President – Sheldon Howell
Operations – David Buche
Head Coach – TBD

Recruiting-

Our goal is to bring in high character athletes that will impact the El Dorado community during the summer. Our local approach will highlight high school players wanting to play at the next level. Additionally, we will create a channel of outside area players that will be a funnel to more student athletes for the college.

Revenue-

The team will operate on their own budget, which provides the team with uniforms, hats, baseballs, helmets, etc.
Our expected revenue for the 2022 season is: \$24,000

Expenses-

The team budget is designed around summer standards for collegiate baseball. Our budget has \$2,000 allocated for a field rental. We allocate a \$4,000 base for our coaching staff. The team would like to hire a coach that's staffed with Butler Community College or the local high school. Per the request of the Parks and Rec department, the coaches would be responsible for stadium clean up; this includes stands, bathrooms, trash, concession stand and dugouts during the summer season.

Insurance-

The team will carry its own insurance, and the field will be added as an additional insured.



Scheduling-

Field rental/usage is completely flexible based on the availability as we look to schedule 16-20 home games.

Start times-

Flexibility is there to start earlier than our normal scheduled times of 7pm.

Days-

We make our schedule in October, which is still very flexible. We will work with the park and rec department to schedule dates that best suit youth camps and home games that work within the community.

Umpires-

Officials are scheduled and maintained by Mid America Sports Officials; we operate with a 2-man crew which is played by the NCAA rules. Scheduling and paying umpires are incurred by the team.

Per the City request, we will have a home game on July 4th.

Community-

Traditionally all KCLB owned teams participate in everything that is related to sports in the community. In the inaugural year the team hosted 6 youth mini camps through the South YMCA in exchange for a membership to the YMCA facilities. The team would look to partner with the local YMCA and Rec to provide youth camps and giveback.

Host families-

The team will rely on the community to provide a host for the 2-month stay, which allows the athletes to have a bed and a place to do their laundry. The athletes know that they are responsible for their day to day needs such as food, transportation, etc. Many times, this experience creates an everlasting connection between host family and athletes throughout their baseball journey. To operate effectively in 2022, we would need assistance reaching the community. We will need around 15 to 20 host families to operate the team.

Housing-

We will also be reaching out and communicating with Butler Community College on possible housing on campus for our athletes during the summer. This option will be something that we try to avoid if possible. It will raise fees for players, which we do our best to operate lean and keep costs down.

Partnership-

We would like to propose a partnership with the city and create an atmosphere of entertainment at our games that is spectator baseball. An atmosphere where the community can come to enjoy themselves on the summer evenings and support an organization that is rooted within its city. To accomplish this, we would like to create a beer garden. To do this we would need to be granted a Cereal Malt Beverage (CMB- Beer Only) license by the city. This space would be staffed, have proper security protocol, and run in the specific controlled area by the team, if this option is available.



Concessions-

We would propose that the city runs the concessions and has the lion share of split revenue. Per the request of the Parks and Rec, we would offer the opportunity by outsourcing this to help give back to the El Dorado community through a youth organization before we would organize and operate the concessions.

Gate-

We plan to charge a \$2-4 gate depending on what theme night we have that evening. We think of the gate as more of a donation from our supporters to the team, we hope to earn that business from the community. This is not a budgeted stream of income with the team and not something we rely on. On select nights, we will partner and donate back to local initiatives and charities.

Our hopes will be to find a sponsor for these nights so that tickets to the games are free. Example: BCC buyout night, all of the free tickets to the game are located there and anyone can get a free ticket to the game by stopping by there.

The team is an established franchise within the KCLB, and we are excited for the opportunity in El Dorado. This low-risk partnership will bring positive cash flow for the facility and city. With the support of the community, the high school, and Butler Community College we feel this is a perfect scenario for our team and City of El Dorado to build on for many years to come.

Sheldon Howell
KCLB Commissioner/President

David Buche
KCLB Operations
Operations

