



EL DORADO CITY COMMISSION - REGULAR MEETING AGENDA
CITY HALL – 220 E. FIRST AVENUE
August 3, 2026 - 5:30 PM

- 1. Call to Order**
- 2. Roll Call**
- 3. Invocation** - Father John Lanzrath, St. John Catholic Church
- 4. Pledge of Allegiance**

Proclamations and Recognition

- 5. National Night Out Proclamation**

Personal Appearances. Personal appearances are opportunities for organizations or citizens to make special presentations before the City Commission. Such appearances are scheduled in advance of the meeting by calling City Clerk Emerald Veatch at (316) 321-9100 by 5:00 pm the Tuesday preceding the meeting. Presentations are limited to ten minutes. Any presentation is for information purposes only; no action will be taken.

- 6. El Dorado Inc Second Quarter Report**
- 7. El Dorado Main Street**

Public Comments. Persons who wish to address the City Commission regarding any matter that is under the jurisdiction of the City Commission may do so when called upon by the Mayor. Comments on personnel matters, matters pending in court, and land use matters are not permitted. Land use Public Hearings are held during Planning Commission meetings.

Consent Agenda *(Consent agenda items will be acted on by one motion unless a majority of the City Commission votes to remove an item for discussion and separate action.)*

- 8. City Commission Meeting Minutes from May 13, 2026, June 10, 2026, June 29, 2026, and July 1, 2026.**

Old Business

New Business

- 9. Consideration of Approval of the the bids received for Project No. 637 and, finding that Pearson Construction, LLC, submitted the lowest and best bid, direct the City Manager to award the contract to Pearson Construction, LLC, in the amount of \$394,405.85, contingent upon the contractor furnishing all required bonds and insurance.**
- 10. Consideration an Ordinance amending Section 10.04.010 of the El Dorado Municipal Code pertaining to traffic and incorporating by reference the "Standard Traffic Ordinance" for**

Kansas Cities, 53rd Edition, with certain omissions, changes and additions; prescribing additional regulations; providing certain penalties and repealing prior ordinances.

11. Consideration an Ordinance amending Section 9.04.010 of the El Dorado Municipal Code pertaining to traffic and incorporating, by reference, the “Uniform Public Offense Code” for Kansas Cities, 42nd Edition.

Discussion Items

Reports

12. City Commission and Advisory Board Updates
13. City Manager

Adjournment

14. Consideration of a motion to adjourn

EL DORADO
K A N S A S

PROCLAMATION

THE CITY OF EL DORADO, KANSAS

WHEREAS the National Association of Town Watch (NATW) sponsors a unique, nationwide crime, drug, and violence prevention program entitled “National Night Out”; and

WHEREAS the 43rd Annual National Night Out provides a unique opportunity for the City of El Dorado to join forces with thousands of other communities across the country in promoting cooperative, police-community crime prevention efforts; and

WHEREAS the residents of the City of El Dorado play a vital role in assisting the El Dorado Police Department through joint crime, drug, and violence prevention efforts in the City of El Dorado and are supporting National Night Out 2026 locally; and

WHEREAS it is essential that all citizens of the City of El Dorado be aware of the importance of crime prevention programs and the impact that their participation can have on reducing crime, drugs, and violence in the City of El Dorado; and

WHEREAS police-community partnerships, neighborhood safety, awareness, and cooperation are important themes of the National Night Out program;

NOW, THEREFORE, I, Bill Young, do hereby recognize Tuesday, August 4th, 2026, as

NATIONAL NIGHT OUT

and I call this observance to the attention of all our citizens.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereto set my hand and caused the Official Seal of the City of El Dorado, Kansas, to be affixed on the 3rd day of August 2026.

Seal

Mayor Bill Young

Emerald Veatch, City Clerk

The El Dorado City Commission met in a work session on May 13, 2026, at 5:00 p.m. in the Commission Room with the following present: Mayor Bill Young, Commissioner Andrew Tipton, Commissioner Syndee Scribner, Commissioner Leon Leachman Commissioner Kendra Wilkinson, and City Manager David Dillner. Absent:

VISITORS

Kevin Wishart	Parks and Recreation Director	El Dorado, KS
Haley Remsberg	HR Director	El Dorado, KS
Emerald Veatch	City Clerk	El Dorado, KS
Joe Haag	Fire Chief	El Dorado, KS
Mike Holton	Police Chief	El Dorado, KS
Steve Fellers		El Dorado, KS
Ravin Young		El Dorado, KS
Amanda McGee		El Dorado, KS

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Bill Young called the May 13, 2026, meeting to order.

WORK SESSION DICUSSION ITEMS:

EMERGING INDUSTRIES TEXT AMENDMENT TO ZONING REGULATIONS

City Manager David Dillner stated that the proposed ordinance would amend three sections of the City’s zoning regulations. First, Article 3, Rules and Definitions, would be amended to add definitions for newer industrial, utility, energy, technology, and infrastructure-related uses that are not clearly defined in the current regulations. Second, Appendix A, Listing of Uses by Zoning District, would be updated to identify whether each use is permitted by right, requires a Special Use Permit, or is prohibited within the O-I, I-1, and I-2 zoning districts. Third, Article 6, Supplementary District Regulations, would be amended to establish common and use-specific review standards related to utility demand, water and wastewater services, stormwater management, noise, generator testing, screening, outdoor equipment, hazardous materials, emergency access, decommissioning, and substantial changes following approval. He stated that The Planning Commission held the continued public hearing at its April 23, 2026, meeting. City Manager David Dillner stated that the State of Kansas is receiving interest from companies represented in the amendments. Staff began discussing the need for regulations to address new uses. These amendments provide a framework for projects to be evaluated by. Current regulations do not provide a clear definition or framework regarding these uses. These current conditions mean that if an application were to be received, Planning and Zoning Commission would have to utilize their discretion on projects, which puts them in an unfair position. Zoning Regulations define the City’s Land Use policies, if uses are not defined this puts the City in a grey area. Amendments to the Regulations would provide the City with a framework to evaluate acceptable uses and regulations on acceptable uses. The process of amending these regulations is best undertaken before a project is proposed. The Zoning Regulations’ list of acceptable uses have been amended before and should be updated to reflect changes in technology and industry. The April hearing included public comments, staff responses, Planning Commission discussion, and the vote. The record also includes public comments that were emailed into the Planning Commission as well as the comments given during public hearing. Following that process, the Planning Commission voted 6 to 0 to recommend approval to the City Commission of the proposed emerging industries zoning text amendments. He stated the Commission has a few courses of action they can take. The Commission can approve the Planning Commission’s recommendation, return the matter back to the Planning Commission with specific instructions for change, or the Commission can reject the Planning Commission’s recommendation. In order to override the Planning Commission’s recommendation with a 2/3 majority vote. City Manager David Dillner noted that this detail is important if the Commission wishes to vote on the amendments at the next regular session, since Commissioner Leachman will be unavailable and Commissioner Scribner would have to remotely join in order to vote on this He then stated that this matter does not need to be voted on at the next meeting but can wait. He went through the

list of proposed industries to added to the list of definitions, and the accepted uses table in the Zoning Amendment. He then explained the differences between by-right usage and uses allowed via a special use permit, which would include requirements found in the amendment as well. He stated that this item is a zoning text amendment. It does not approve a specific project. It does not rezone any property.

Commissioner Wilkinson asked the reasoning behind allowing research and development facilities by right. City Manager David Dillner asked Planning Commissioner Steve Fellers for his input on the Planning Commission's decision. Planning Commissioner Fellers stated that it his opinion that there is little risk, given the fact that other communities have them with few issues. He stated that these facilities would still be required to go through a traditional permit process, they would just be exempt from the Special Use Permit process.

City Manager David Dillner went over some of the supplemental regulations for emerging industries. He stated that these regulations are a way for the City to mitigate potential concerns for projects, including noise levels, equipment, infrastructure, etc. Those who wish to develop these industries will need to demonstrate compliance with these regulations before the Planning Commission or the City Commission approve the Special Use Permit.

Commissioner Scribner asked if the Commission could add additional regulations that could arise. City Manager Dillner stated that regulations can be added as needed through the Public Comment process to mitigate new concerns.

Commissioner Wilkinson asked about the usage of the word may, instead of shall, and wondered if the information in the "may" section would be good information to know for projects. City Manager Dillner stated that this provides the flexibility for the Planning Commission and City Commission to determine whether certain regulations are appropriate for the scope of a project. Planning Commissioner Fellers stated that these regulations are not the only regulations, and that these uses are often subject to Federal and State regulations as well. Fire Chief Joe Haag affirmed this and that there are additional regulations in the fire code on the disclosure of hazard materials, as well as certain emergency management information. Mayor Young affirmed that this wording allows for flexibility in the process.

Mayor Young stated that he wanted to emphasize the fact that the material change after approval regulation requires that major changes after the issuance of the Special Use Permit be brought back before the Planning and City Commissions for reapproval.

Commissioner Tipton asked who ensures compliance with regulations after the approval of the Special Use Permit. City Manager Dillner stated that the City will ensure compliance, through investigation of complaints or potential audits. He also stated that the City can bring in technical experts for further support. Mayor Young stated that regular audits could be built into the terms of the Special Use Permit. He also stated that compliance is generally ensured through citizen input from a myriad of issues currently. City Manager Dillner stated that the zoning process is important to ensure that industrial uses are in the proper zoning district, which builds an initial buffer. Further regulations would require more of a buffer. Commissioner Leachman asked if penalties are referenced. City Manager Dillner stated that there are penalties that could include stop work orders, cease operations, revoking , or fines.

Commissioner Wilkinson asked about the heat domes that data centers can create. City Manager Dillner stated that the amendment does not mention this.

Commissioner Scribner asked about the distinctions between risk level in similar uses. She asked if there was consideration of a similar distinction between small and hyper scale data centers. Planning Commissioner Fellers stated that the possibility of a larger scale data center is unlikely due to the fact that it would be unlikely the City would be able to support a large scale data center.

Commissioner Wilkinson asked how changes at the Federal level could impact on our regulations. City Manager Dillner affirmed that local regulations cannot require more than Federal regulations.

Commissioner Scribner stated that she would like to see data centers split into categories based on size, though this is not an issue she is adamant about requiring. Mayor Young asked for clarification on how servers for businesses downtown would be treated under new regulations. Commissioner Leachman stated that he is pleased with the definitions in the current amendments and that it is difficult to anticipate every scenario, and he is comfortable with the regulations the Planning Commission and staff have produced.

Mayor Young stated that he is grateful for the work put in by the Planning Commission and the engagement in the Public Comment.

Commissioner Tipton stated that he thinks the regulations are very thorough and that he views them as a benefit to the community. Mayor Young agreed and stated that these regulations would allow the City to be proactive in the event these industries wish to come to El Dorado.

Commissioner Wilkinson stated that her questions are less about the zoning and more about data centers in particular. Commissioner Scribner agreed and stated that these are a good baseline to have ahead of specific potential projects and conversations. Commissioners Leachman and Tipton agreed. City Manager Dillner stated that the Special Use Permit process will be the time to investigate further into specifics regarding resource uses. The regulations before the Commission are to regulate the Land Use and provide the process to gather information on specific uses.

Mayor Young asked when the amendments can go before the Commission. City Manager David Dillner stated that this will probably be on the agenda June 1st.

SAFE STREETS FOR ALL (SS4A) GRANT APPLICATION

City Manager David Dillner reviewed the SS4A Action Plan process and plan that was adopted. He stated that the application to receive Federal funds to implement this plan closes May 26, 2026. He also stated that the State will be opening funds to cover local match requirements. He stated that both funding opportunities are likely to end with this round of funding. He stated that the plan identified two major projects that were discussed at the last work session. The projects identified are the Main Street corridor. This project would likely lead to disruptions downtown, though the process to receive the funds and design the final project would take between 18-24 months, which would give the City time to raise awareness about disruptions. He stated that staff raised concerns because of the recently finished work downtown. He stated that the City should engage with business owners to ensure the project is fitting the needs of relevant stakeholders. This effort could align with the opportunity zone and future downtown revitalization. City Manager Dillner stated that a roundabout at Main and McCollum is the next potential project.

Commissioner Tipton stated that the Commission seems to be in favor of the Main Street Corridor. City Manager Dillner stated that this project appears to align more with the State funding guide.

Commissioner Tipton asked where the City's portion of funding for these projects would come from. City Manager Dillner stated that if the City moves forward with the Main Street Corridor, it is possible to fund using bonds or excess sales tax could be an option.

Commissioner Scribner asked who would cover potential increases in cost. City Manager Dillner stated that the City would cover this since the funding is capped.

Commissioner Tipton asked if both projects would be covered. City Manager Dillner stated that given the timeline of the application deadline, it is unlikely staff and JEO could successfully complete two applications.

Commissioner Tipton stated that he believes the City should apply for the grant and stated a preference for the Main Street project. The Mayor and other Commissioners agreed. Commissioner Scribner stated that she is still interested in finding a way to complete the roundabout project as well. City Manager Dillner stated that there are other Kansas Department of Transportation grants the City can apply for.

REGULAR AGENDA PREVIEW:

The Commission reviewed the upcoming agenda for May 18, 2026.

COMMISSION REPORTS

There were no reports.

CITY MANAGER REPORTS

There were no reports.

ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner Andrew Tipton moved to adjourn the meeting at 6:12 PM.

Commissioner Scribner seconded the motion.

Motion carried 5 – 0.

City Clerk Emerald Veatch

Mayor Bill Young

The El Dorado City Commission met in a work session on June 10, 2026, at 5:00 p.m. in the Commission Room with the following present: Mayor Bill Young, Commissioner Andrew Tipton, Commissioner Leon Leachman, Commissioner Syndee Scribner, Commissioner Kendra Wilkinson, City Manager David Dillner, and City Attorney Ashlyn Lindskog.

VISITORS

Tabitha Sharp	Assistant City Manager	El Dorado, KS
Scott Rickard	Director of Engineering	El Dorado, KS
Brad Meyer	Director of Public Works	El Dorado, KS
Kevin Wishart	Parks and Recreation Director	El Dorado, KS
Haley Remsberg	HR Director	El Dorado, KS
Michael Holton	Police Chief	El Dorado, KS
Kate Bosserman	Experience El Dorado	El Dorado, KS
Julie Clements	Public Information Officer	El Dorado, KS
Elizabeth Blakely	Management Intern	El Dorado, KS
Jeff Murphy	Deputy Police Chief	El Dorado, KS
Amanda McGee		
Jeff Klein		El Dorado, KS
Laurie Klein		El Dorado, KS
Ravin Young		El Dorado, KS
Crystal Reed		Towanda, KS
Gail Crenshaw		
David Crenshaw		
Lisa Rush		El Dorado, KS
Brion Little		El Dorado, KS
Mary O’Brian		El Dorado, KS
Christi Thorn		El Dorado, KS
Christie Bowman		El Dorado, KS
Carrie Shearburn		El Dorado, KS
Callie Nicholson		El Dorado, KS

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Bill Young called the June 10, 2026, meeting to order.

WORK SESSION DISCUSSION ITEMS:

SOCIAL MEDIA FOR LOCAL OFFICIALS

City Manager David Dillner and the City Commission reviewed the CiviSocial Packet that was included in the agenda packet. They also went through and reflected on the Reflection Questions in the packet.

City Attorney Ashlyn Lindskog stated that staff is seeking policy direction regarding the City's response to inaccurate information circulating on social media. She explained that staff are receiving Kansas Open Records Act (KORA) requests based on factually incorrect narratives, resulting in legally accurate responses that may appear confusing to the public because the underlying assumptions are inaccurate. She noted that remaining silent can be perceived as confirming misinformation and asked whether the Commission wishes for staff to publicly correct inaccurate narratives or refrain from responding. She recommended providing consistent responses to repeated requests in order to reduce the amount of staff time spent addressing the same issues.

CODE OF ETHICS

City Manager David Dillner Given recent controversy, it makes sense to step back and assess opportunities for the municipal government to improve its relationship with the public. Many comments have been made by the public that point to the need for more transparency and accountability, especially as it relates to economic development decisions. The City Commission should review its Code of Ethics to determine if any changes are warranted to address issues

raised by members of the public. The code should define acceptable behaviors for the governing body, staff, advisory boards, and even vendors and contractors working with the City. A code of ethics, with proper enforcement, can prevent unethical behavior and provide the public with assurance that decisions and actions by the municipal government are aligned with the public's interests. The purpose of a Code of Ethics is to establish a clear framework for ethical decision-making, public transparency, conflicts of interest, respectful conduct, and responsible stewardship of public resources. Municipal government depends on public trust. Residents expect City officials and employees to make decisions fairly, disclose conflicts when they exist, use public resources responsibly, protect confidential information when required, and conduct public business as openly as the law allows. A written Code of Ethics places those expectations in one document and provides a common reference point for the City Commission, appointed officials, City employees, and the public. The Code does not replace Kansas law, City ordinances, personnel policies, purchasing policies, the Kansas Open Meetings Act, the Kansas Open Records Act, or legal advice from the City Attorney. Rather, it serves as a practical guide for conduct and decision-making within the City organization.

The Commission requested staff and Council to look at updating the current Code of Ethics.

NE PRESSURE ZONE STUDY

City Engineer Scott Rickard presented the Northeast Pressure Zone Study to the Commission.

REGULAR AGENDA PREVIEW:

The Commission reviewed the June 15th Commission Agenda.

COMMISSION REPORTS

There were no reports.

CITY MANAGER REPORTS

There were no reports.

ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner Kendra Wilkinson moved to adjourn the meeting at 7:45PM.

Commissioner Leon Leachman seconded the motion.

Motion carried 5 – 0.

City Clerk Emerald Veatch

Mayor Bill Young

EL DORADO SPECIAL SESSION

JUNE 29, 2026

The El Dorado City Commission met in a special session on June 29, 2026, at 5:30 p.m. in the Commission Room with the following present: Mayor Bill Young, Commissioner Andrew Tipton, Commissioner Syndee Scribner, Commissioner Leon Leachman, Commissioner Kendra Wilkinson, City Manager David Dillner and City Attorney. Absent:

VISITORS

Tabitha Sharp	Assistant City Manager	El Dorado, KS
Scott Rickard	Director of Engineering	El Dorado, KS
Emerald Veatch	City Clerk	El Dorado, KS
Mike Holton	Police Chief	El Dorado, KS
Scott Roberts	Lt Special Operations	El Dorado, KS
Emerald Veatch	City Clerk	El Dorado, KS
Danica Dickson		El Dorado, KS
Amanda McGee		El Dorado, KS
Christie Bowman		El Dorado, KS
Kye Lehr		El Dorado, KS
Lisa Rush		El Dorado, KS
Stuart Gordon		El Dorado, KS
Larry Unruh		El Dorado, KS
Laura Collins		El Dorado, KS

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Bill Young called the June 29, 2026, meeting to order.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Commissioner Syndee Scribner moved to recess into executive session pursuant to the attorney-client privileged exception under K.S.A. 75-4319(b)(2) for consultation with an attorney for the public body or agency for the purpose of discussing a citizen-led petition filed under K.S.A. 12-3013, and to reconvene the meeting at 6:32 p.m. in the Commission Chambers.

Commissioner Andrew Tipton seconded the motion.

Motion carried 5-0.

Commissioner Syndee Scribner Commissioner Syndee Scribner moved to recess into executive session pursuant to the attorney-client privileged exception under K.S.A. 75-4319(b)(2) for consultation with an attorney for the public body or agency for the purpose of discussing a citizen-led petition filed under K.S.A. 12-3013, and to reconvene the meeting at 6:32 p.m. in the Commission Chambers.

Commissioner Leon Leachman seconded the motion.

Motion carried 3-0.

Mayor Bill Young stated there will be a special city commission meeting held on Monday July 13th to discuss this further.

ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner Leon Leachman moved to adjourn the meeting at 7:15 PM.

Commissioner Kendra Wilkinson seconded the motion.

Motion carried 5-0.

City Clerk Emerald Ashlock

Mayor Bill Young

The El Dorado City Commission met in a work session on June 10, 2026, at 5:00 p.m. in the Commission Room with the following present: Mayor Bill Young, Commissioner Andrew Tipton, Commissioner Leon Leachman, Commissioner Syndee Scribner, Commissioner Kendra Wilkinson, City Manager David Dillner.

VISITORS

Tabitha Sharp	Assistant City Manager	El Dorado, KS
Scott Rickard	Director of Engineering	El Dorado, KS
Brad Meyer	Director of Public Works	El Dorado, KS
Kevin Wishart	Parks and Recreation Director	El Dorado, KS
Haley Remsberg	HR Director	El Dorado, KS
Michael Holton	Police Chief	El Dorado, KS

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Bill Young called the July 1, 2026, meeting to order.

WORK SESSION DISCUSSION ITEMS:

2027 PRELIMINARY BUDGET DISCUSSION

City Manager David Dillner presented the 2027 preliminary budget.

The Commission discussed the preliminary budget options.

REGULAR AGENDA PREVIEW:

The Commission reviewed the July 6th Commission Agenda.

COMMISSION REPORTS

There were no reports.

CITY MANAGER REPORTS

There were no reports.

ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner Andrew Tipton moved to adjourn the meeting at 6:58 PM.

Commissioner Syndee Scribner seconded the motion.

Motion carried 5 – 0.

City Clerk Emerald Veatch

Mayor Bill Young

EL DORADO

KANSAS

TO: City Commission
FROM: Scott Rickard
SUBJ: Consideration of Approval of the the bids received for Project No. 637 and, finding that Pearson Construction, LLC, submitted the lowest and best bid, direct the City Manager to award the contract to Pearson Construction, LLC, in the amount of \$394,405.85, contingent upon the contractor furnishing all required bonds and insurance.
DATE: August 3, 2026

Background:

Project No. 637 includes resurfacing Central Avenue (K-254) from Haverhill Road to Boyer Road. The City's Pavement Management Plan emphasizes prioritizing pavement investments based on roadway condition, functional classification, traffic volume, and vehicle loading. The plan identifies arterial streets as critical routes that require durable treatments capable of handling high traffic volumes and heavy commercial vehicles.

Central Avenue carries approximately 18,000 vehicles per day, including significant truck traffic, and serves as an important arterial and regional transportation route. Due to available project funding, an ultra-thin hot-mix asphalt surface was selected instead of a traditional mill-and-overlay treatment. This approach provides a cost-effective method of addressing the existing pavement condition, protecting the City's prior investment, and extending the roadway's service life within the available budget.

The treatment is expected to provide approximately 6 to 10 years of additional service life, depending on traffic loading, weather, and the condition of the underlying pavement. It will also provide a smoother driving surface, improve skid resistance, and slow further pavement deterioration.

The City was awarded funding for the project through KDOT's competitive City Connecting Link Improvement Program—Surface Preservation (CCLIP-SP).

Attachments:

1. Proj 637-CCLIP Bid Tab

Strategic Priorities:

This project supports the City's strategic priorities of maintaining critical infrastructure, improving transportation safety, and using pavement-condition and traffic data to guide capital investments. It also advances the Pavement Management Plan's goal of applying the appropriate treatment at the appropriate time to extend pavement life and avoid more costly rehabilitation or reconstruction.

Operation and Financial Impact:

KDOT will reimburse 90% of eligible construction and construction-engineering costs, up to a maximum State contribution of \$400,000. The City is responsible for the remaining 10% of eligible costs until KDOT's maximum contribution is reached.

The City is also responsible for 100% of preliminary engineering, right-of-way, utility adjustments, nonparticipating items, and participating costs exceeding KDOT's funding limit.

The following bids were received:

Bidder	Bid Amount
---------------	-------------------

Pearson Construction, LLC	\$394,405.85
---------------------------	--------------

Kansas Paving	\$408,993.85
---------------	--------------

APAC-Kansas	\$591,401.85
-------------	--------------

Engineer's Estimate	\$694,869.73
---------------------	--------------

Pearson Construction submitted the lowest bid at \$394,405.85. Assuming all bid items are determined eligible by KDOT, the estimated construction funding would be:

Funding Source	Estimated Amount
-----------------------	-------------------------

KDOT – 90%	\$354,965.27
------------	--------------

City – 10%	\$39,440.58
------------	-------------

Total Construction Bid	\$394,405.85
------------------------	--------------

Construction-engineering costs will also be shared on a 90/10 basis until KDOT's total contribution reaches \$400,000. Final City and KDOT costs will depend on actual quantities, construction-engineering expenses, change orders, and KDOT's final determination of eligible and nonparticipating costs.

The City's required funding will be paid from Sales Tax Street funds.

Temporary traffic disruptions will occur during construction; however, access to adjacent properties will be maintained. The construction schedule will be established following contract execution.

Alternatives:

- Award the contract to Pearson Construction, LLC.
- Reject all bids and re-advertise the project. This is not recommended due to scheduling concerns and the potential risk to the awarded grant funding.

- Defer the project. This would delay the needed improvements, allow the pavement to continue deteriorating, and could jeopardize the CCLIP funding.

Trade-Offs:

Awarding the project requires the City to commit Sales Tax Street funds and accept temporary construction-related traffic disruptions. In return, the City will complete a priority pavement-preservation project with 90% funding assistance from KDOT on eligible costs. Completing the treatment now is expected to extend the pavement's service life and delay the need for more expensive structural rehabilitation or reconstruction.

Staff Recommendation:

Staff recommends accepting the bids and awarding Project No. 637 to Pearson Construction, LLC, in the amount of \$394,405.85.

Commission Action:

Commissioner _____ moved to accept the bids received for Project No. 637 and, finding that Pearson Construction, LLC, submitted the lowest and best bid, direct the City Manager to award the contract to Pearson Construction, LLC, in the amount of \$394,405.85, contingent upon the contractor furnishing all required bonds and insurance.

Commissioner _____ seconded the motion.

Advisory Board Recommendation:

N/A

7/23/2026

Bid Tabulations 2027 CCLIP

Surface Preservation - K-254 / Central Ave. (Haverhill to Boyer)

Project # 637

ITEM NO	DESCRIPTION	UNITS	NO. OF UNITS	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE		PEARSON CONSTRUCTION		KANSAS PAVING		APAC-KANSAS	
				UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
1	MOBILIZATION	LS	1	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 75,000.00	\$ 55,000.00	\$ 55,000.00	\$ 103,900.00	\$ 103,900.00	\$ 73,000.00	\$ 73,000.00
2	TRAFFIC CONTROL	LS	1	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 40,000.00	\$ 18,500.00	\$ 18,500.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00
3	EDGE MILLING	SY	13,196	\$ 8.00	\$ 105,568.00	\$ 1.00	\$ 13,196.00	\$ 2.00	\$ 26,392.00	\$ 7.00	\$ 92,372.00
4	HMA ULTRATHIN BONDED OVERLAY (5/8")	TON	1,390	\$ 220.00	\$ 305,800.00	\$ 170.00	\$ 236,300.00	\$ 150.00	\$ 208,500.00	\$ 240.00	\$ 333,600.00
5	ASPHALT MILLING (2")	SY	262	\$ 6.00	\$ 1,572.00	\$ 24.00	\$ 6,288.00	\$ 15.00	\$ 3,930.00	\$ 9.00	\$ 2,358.00
6	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE OVERLAY (1 3/8")	TON	20	\$ 150.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 400.00	\$ 8,000.00
7	FULL DEPTH PAVEMENT REPLACEMENT	SY	100		\$ -	\$ 205.00	\$ 20,500.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 250.00	\$ 25,000.00
8	REMOVE AND REPLACE CURB AND GUTTER	LF	20	\$ 40.00	\$ 800.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 25.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 1,600.00
9	ADJUST VALVE BOX	EA	1	\$ 750.00	\$ 750.00	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 1,200.00	\$ 1,200.00	\$ 650.00	\$ 650.00
10	ADJUST MANHOLE RING & COVER	EA	5	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 10,000.00	\$ 750.00	\$ 3,750.00	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 9,000.00
11	PAVEMENT MARKING (MULTI-COMPONENT) (WHITE) (6")	LF	9,163	\$ 1.50	\$ 13,744.50	\$ 0.45	\$ 4,123.35	\$ 0.45	\$ 4,123.35	\$ 0.45	\$ 4,123.35
12	PAVEMENT MARKING (MULTI-COMPONENT) (WHITE) (8")	LF	1,720	\$ 4.00	\$ 6,880.00	\$ 1.20	\$ 2,064.00	\$ 1.20	\$ 2,064.00	\$ 1.20	\$ 2,064.00
13	PAVEMENT MARKING ((MULTI-COMPONENT) (WHITE) (12")	LF	690	\$ 8.00	\$ 5,520.00	\$ 2.75	\$ 1,897.50	\$ 2.75	\$ 1,897.50	\$ 2.75	\$ 1,897.50
14	PAVEMENT MARKING (MULTI-COMPONENT) (YELLOW) (4")	LF	8,800	\$ 1.50	\$ 13,200.00	\$ 0.39	\$ 3,432.00	\$ 0.39	\$ 3,432.00	\$ 0.39	\$ 3,432.00
15	PAVEMENT MARKING (INTERSECTION GRADE) (WHITE) (24")	LF	330	\$ 20.00	\$ 6,600.00	\$ 11.00	\$ 3,630.00	\$ 11.00	\$ 3,630.00	\$ 11.00	\$ 3,630.00
16	PAVEMENT MARKING SYMBOL (INTERSECTION GRADE) (WHITE) (RT ARROW)	EA	20	\$ 300.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 175.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 175.00	\$ 3,500.00	\$ 175.00	\$ 3,500.00
17	PAVEMENT MARKING SYMBOL (INTERSECTION GRADE) (WHITE) (LT ARROW)	EA	41	\$ 300.00	\$ 12,300.00	\$ 175.00	\$ 7,175.00	\$ 175.00	\$ 7,175.00	\$ 175.00	\$ 7,175.00
				\$ 604,234.50		\$ 394,405.85		\$ 408,993.85		\$ 591,401.85	

EL DORADO

KANSAS

TO: City Commission
FROM: Mike Holton, Police Chief
SUBJ: Consideration an Ordinance amending Section 10.04.010 of the El Dorado Municipal Code pertaining to traffic and incorporating by reference the “Standard Traffic Ordinance” for Kansas Cities, 53rd Edition, with certain omissions, changes and additions; prescribing additional regulations; providing certain penalties and repealing prior ordinances.
DATE: August 3, 2026

Background:

Each year, the League of Kansas Municipalities provides Kansas Cities with a Standard Traffic Ordinance (STO) and Uniform Public Offense Code (UPOC) updated with all State Statutes. Amendments made by the State of Kansas are as follows.

Standard Traffic Ordinance:

- Section 93 Parking Disabled and other Vehicles
- Section 126.1 Obstructing License Plates
- Section 126.1.1 Display of License Plate
- Section 181 One-Way Glass and Sun Screening Devices
- Section 201.1 Failure to Comply with a Traffic Citation

Attachments:

1. STO 2026

Strategic Priorities:

Adoption of the 2026 Standard Traffic Ordinance supports the City Commission's strategic priorities by promoting effective governance, public safety, and organizational excellence.

Maintaining an up-to-date traffic code ensures compliance with changes in Kansas law, provides consistency in the enforcement of traffic regulations, and reduces legal ambiguity for residents, visitors, law enforcement officers, and the Municipal Court. Annual adoption also reflects the City's commitment to maintaining current ordinances and sound municipal operations.

Operation and Financial Impact:

Operationally, adoption of the ordinance provides Police Department personnel and Municipal Court staff with an updated legal framework for traffic enforcement and adjudication. The ordinance minimizes inconsistencies between local regulations and state law and supports efficient administration of traffic-related cases.

Financial impacts are minimal. The City incurs the routine cost of purchasing the annual Standard Traffic Ordinance publication and updating the municipal code as necessary. These costs are included within existing departmental operating budgets. No additional staffing or capital expenditures are anticipated.

Alternatives:

The City Commission has the following options:

1. Approve the Standard Traffic Ordinance and Uniform Public Offense Code with the amendments presented.
2. Approve the Standard Traffic Ordinance and Uniform Public Offense Code with no amendments.
3. Table the item and request that staff return with additional changes to the documents.

Trade-Offs:

Benefits

- Maintains consistency between the City's traffic regulations and current Kansas statutes.
- Supports lawful and efficient traffic enforcement by the Police Department.
- Reduces the risk of outdated or conflicting ordinance provisions.
- Provides clarity for Municipal Court proceedings and public compliance.
- Demonstrates proactive maintenance of the City's municipal code.

Drawbacks

- Requires staff time to update ordinance references, distribute the new publication, and implement any administrative changes.
- May require minor staff training or public education if legislative changes affect enforcement practices.

If the City Does Not Adopt the Ordinance

- The City risks retaining outdated traffic regulations that may conflict with current state law.
- Law enforcement and Municipal Court could encounter increased legal uncertainty when enforcing or prosecuting traffic violations.
- Future code maintenance may become more complex and require more extensive amendments rather than routine annual updates.
- The City would be unable to fully align its traffic code with current Kansas legislative changes until a future ordinance is adopted.

Staff Recommendation:

The recommendation of staff is to adopt the Standard Traffic Ordinance with amendments as presented.

Commission Action:

Commissioner _____ moved to approve an Ordinance amending Section 10.04.010 of the El Dorado Municipal Code pertaining to traffic and incorporating by reference the “Standard Traffic Ordinance” for Kansas Cities, 53rd Edition, with certain omissions, changes and additions; prescribing additional regulations; providing certain penalties and repealing prior ordinances.

Commissioner _____ seconded the motion.

Advisory Board Recommendation:

ORDINANCE NO. G-

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 10.04.010 OF TITLE 10, CHAPTER 10.04 OF THE EL DORADO MUNICIPAL CODE PERTAINING TO TRAFFIC AND INCORPORATING BY REFERENCE THE “STANDARD TRAFFIC ORDINANCE FOR KANSAS CITIES” 53RD EDITION, WITH CERTAIN OMISSIONS, CHANGES AND ADDITIONS; PRESCRIBING ADDITIONAL REGULATIONS; PROVIDING CERTAIN PENALTIES AND REPEALING PRIOR ORDINANCES

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF EL DORADO, KANSAS:

Section 1: That Section 10.04.010 Title 10, Chapter 10.04 of the El Dorado Municipal Code shall be replaced as follows:

Section 10.04.010: STANDARD TRAFFIC ORDINANCE INCORPORATED. There is hereby incorporated, by reference, for the purpose of regulating traffic upon the highways, parks, public lands, drives and parking lots, whether public or private, if open to and accessible to the public, within the corporate city limits of the City of El Dorado, Kansas, that certain standard traffic ordinance known as the “Standard Traffic Ordinance for Kansas Cities,” 53rd Edition, prepared and published by the League of Kansas Municipalities, save and except such articles, sections, parts or portions as are hereafter omitted, deleted, modified or changed. One official copy of said “Standard Traffic Ordinance” shall be marked “official Copy as incorporated by the El Dorado Municipal Code,” with all sections or portions thereof intended to be omitted or changed clearly marked to show any such omission or change and to which shall be attached a copy of this ordinance and filed with the City Clerk to be open to inspection and available to the public at all reasonable hours.

Section 2: Omission.

Sections 114.1 and 114.4 of the Standard Traffic Ordinance relating to the operation of golf carts and All-Terrain Vehicles (ATVs) on public streets are hereby omitted and deleted.

Section 3: Amended Provisions for the operation of All-Terrain Vehicles (ATVs) on public streets.

Section 114.1 of said Standard Traffic Ordinance is hereby supplemented by adding the following sections:

Section 114.1 Operation of All-Terrain Vehicles (ATV) and/or Utility-Terrain Vehicle (UTV) on City Streets

- (a) An All-Terrain Vehicle (ATV) is defined as any motorized nonhighway vehicle, other than an electric-assisted bicycle, 55 inches or less in width measured from the outside of one tire rim to the outside of the other tire rim, having a dry weight of 1,500 pounds or less, and traveling on three or more nonhighway tires. (K.S.A. 8-1402a; K.S.A. 8-126)
- (b) A Utility-Terrain Vehicle (UTV) defined as any motorized nonhighway vehicle, other than an electric-assisted bicycle, 78 inches or less in width measured from the outside of one tire rim to the outside of the other tire rim, having a dry weight of 5,500 pounds or less, and traveling on three or more nonhighway tires. (K.S.A. 8-1402a; K.S.A. 8-126)
- (c) ATVs/UTVs as defined above shall be operated on the public highways, streets, roads and alleys within the corporate limits of the city, with the following exceptions.
 - 1) No ATV/UTV may be operated upon any interstate, federal or state highway.
 - 2) No ATV/UTV may be operated upon any public street, road or alley between sunset and sunrise unless such vehicle is equipped with lights and reflectors as required for motorcycles under K.S.A. 8-1721 and amendments thereto.
 - 3) All ATV/UTVs operating on a public street, road or alley must be equipped with at least one side view and one rear view mirror.
 - 4) All ATV/UTVs shall comply with noise and muffler requirements as set forth in K.S.A. 8-1739, and amendments thereto.
- (d) The provisions of subsections (1) and (2) shall not prohibit an ATV/UTV from crossing a federal highway, state or public highway, street, road or alley with a posted speed limit in excess of thirty (30) miles per hour.

Section 4: Amended Provisions for the operation of Golf Carts on public streets.

Section 114.4 of said Standard Traffic Ordinance is hereby supplemented, by adding the following sections

Section 114.4. Operation of Golf Carts on City Streets

- (a) Golf Carts, as defined by the Standard Traffic Ordinance, shall be operated on the public highways, streets, roads and alleys within the corporate limits of the city, with the following exceptions.
 - 1) No golf cart may be operated upon any interstate, federal or state highway.
 - 2) No golf cart may be operated upon any public highway, street, road or alley with a posted speed limit in excess of thirty (30) miles per hour or as otherwise specifically excluded. Excluded streets include:
 - i. Central Avenue
 - ii. Main Street
 - iii. Sixth Avenue

- iv. Southwest Traffic Way
 - v. McCollum Road
 - vi. Haverhill Road
 - 3) The provisions of subsections (1) and (2) shall not prohibit a golf cart from crossing a federal highway, state or public highway, street, road or alley with a posted speed limit in excess of thirty (30) miles per hour.
 - 4) No golf cart may be operated on any public sidewalk, bicycle path or other recreational path not designated for their specific use.
 - 5) No golf cart shall be operated on any public highway, street, road or alley between sunset and sunrise unless such vehicle is equipped with lights as required by law for motorcycles.
 - 6) Every person operating a golf cart on the public highways, streets, roads and alleys of the city shall be subject to all of the duties applicable to a driver of a vehicle imposed by law.
- (b) Display of slow moving vehicle emblem.
- 1) It shall be illegal to operate a golf cart on any public highway, street, road or alley within the corporate limits of the city unless such vehicle displays a slow moving vehicle emblem on the rear of the vehicle. The slow-moving vehicle emblem shall be mounted and displayed in compliance with K.S.A. 8-1717, and amendments thereto.

Section 5: Additional provisions for the operation of ATV/UTVs and Golf Carts on public streets.

(c) Valid Driver's License Required; Penalty.

- 1) No person shall operate an ATV/UTV or golf cart on any public highway, street, road or alley within the corporate limits of the city unless such person has a valid driver's license. Violation of this section is punishable by a fine of not more than \$1,000 or by imprisonment for not more than six months or by both such fine and imprisonment.

(d) Insurance Required; Penalty.

- 1) Every owner of an ATV/UTV or golf cart shall provide liability coverage in accordance with Section 200 of the Standard Traffic Ordinance 51st Edition, and amendments thereto, and the Kansas Automobile Injury Reparations Act, K.S.A. 40-3101, *et seq.*, and amendments thereto. All provisions of Section 200 of the Standard Traffic Ordinance 51st Edition, and amendments thereto, including penalty provisions, shall be applicable to all owners and operators of ATV/UTVs and golf carts.

(e) Registration; Application.

- 1) Before operating an ATV/UTV or golf cart on any public highway, street, road or alley within the corporate limits of the city, the vehicle shall be registered with the El Dorado Police Department and a copy of the registration must be in the vehicle at all times. The application shall be made upon forms provided by the city and each application shall contain the name of the owner, the owner's driver license number, the

owner's residence address or bona fide place of business, a brief description of the vehicle to be registered (including make, model and serial number, if applicable).

- 2) All ATV/UTV and golf cart operators within city limits are required to visibly display the registration number decal issued by the police department on their vehicles at all times.
- 3) Proof of insurance, as required in Section (d) shall be furnished at the time of application for registration.
- 4) The license issued hereunder is not transferrable.

Section 6: Amended provisions for the operation of work-site vehicles.

Section 114.5 of the Standard Traffic Ordinance shall be amended as follows.

(e) Section 114.5 of the Standard Traffic Ordinance shall not apply to the following:

- 1) Equipment operated by duly authorized representatives of the city or its designees for the maintenance of city property or city recreational facilities.
- 2) Equipment operated by duly authorized representatives of Butler County, Kansas or its designees for the maintenance of county property or facilities.
- 3) Equipment operated by duly authorized representatives of USD 490 or USD 375 for the maintenance of school property or facilities.
- 4) Equipment operated by duly authorized representatives of Butler Community College or its designees for the maintenance of college property or facilities.

(f) All work-site vehicles operated by local government or school representatives shall be subject to Section 4 (a) as provided in this Ordinance.

Section 7: Penalties.

Unless specifically provided herein, a violation of any provision in sections 1 through 4 shall be deemed an ordinance traffic infraction. Upon an entry of a plea of guilty or no contest or upon being convicted of such violation, the penalty imposed shall be in accordance with Section 201 of the Standard Traffic Ordinance 51st Edition, and amendments thereto, or such other similar provision as the city may then have in effect.

Section 8: Repeal.

All other ordinances or parts of other ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Section 9: Effective Date.

This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the official city newspaper.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Governing Body of the City of El Dorado, Kansas,
this 3rd day of August 2026.

Mayor

ATTEST:

Emerald Veatch, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

City Attorney

EL DORADO

KANSAS

TO: City Commission
FROM: Mike Holton, Police Chief
SUBJ: Consideration an Ordinance amending Section 9.04.010 of the El Dorado Municipal Code pertaining to traffic and incorporating, by reference, the “Uniform Public Offense Code” for Kansas Cities, 42nd Edition.
DATE: August 3, 2026

Background:

Each year, the League of Kansas Municipalities provides Kansas Cities with a Standard Traffic Ordinance (STO) and Uniform Public Offense Code (UPOC) updated with all State Statutes. Amendments made by the State of Kansas are as follows.

Uniform Public Offense Code:

- Section 3.1.1 Domestic Battery
- Section 6.1. Theft
- Section 6.17 Criminal Use of a Financial Card Gift Card
- Section 11.11 Cruelty to Animals

Attachments:

1. UPOC 2026

Strategic Priorities:

Adoption of the 2026 Uniform Public Offense Code supports the City Commission's strategic priorities by promoting effective governance, public safety, and responsible municipal operations.

Maintaining an updated public offense code ensures the City's ordinances remain aligned with current Kansas statutes, provides consistency in law enforcement and Municipal Court proceedings, and reduces the potential for legal conflicts resulting from outdated ordinance language. Annual adoption demonstrates the City's commitment to maintaining an accurate and legally compliant municipal code.

Operation and Financial Impact:

Operationally, adoption of the ordinance provides the Police Department, Municipal Court, and City staff with an updated legal framework for enforcing criminal and public offense regulations. It ensures that municipal prosecutions are based on current state law and allows staff to administer cases efficiently and consistently.

Financial impacts are minimal. The City incurs the routine cost of purchasing the annual Uniform Public Offense Code publication and updating the municipal code as necessary. These costs are accommodated within existing departmental operating budgets. No additional personnel or capital expenditures are anticipated.

Alternatives:

The City Commission has the following options:

1. Approve the Uniform Public Offense Code with the amendments presented.
2. Approve the Uniform Public Offense Code with no amendments.
3. Table the item and request that staff return with additional changes to the documents.

Trade-Offs:

Benefits

- Maintains consistency between the City's public offense regulations and current Kansas law.
- Supports lawful and consistent enforcement by the Police Department.
- Provides Municipal Court with an updated legal framework for adjudicating offenses.
- Reduces the risk of outdated or conflicting ordinance provisions.
- Demonstrates proactive maintenance of the City's municipal code and legal compliance.

Drawbacks

- Requires staff time to implement the updated code, update ordinance references, and distribute revised materials to enforcement personnel and Municipal Court staff.
- May require limited staff training or procedural updates when legislative changes affect enforcement or prosecution practices.

If the City Does Not Adopt the Ordinance

- The City risks relying on outdated public offense regulations that may not reflect current Kansas statutes.
- Law enforcement officers and Municipal Court staff could face increased legal uncertainty when enforcing or prosecuting municipal offenses.
- Delaying adoption may require more extensive ordinance revisions in the future rather than routine annual updates.
- The City would be unable to fully incorporate the criminal law updates enacted by the Kansas Legislature until a future edition is adopted.

Staff Recommendation:

The recommendation of staff is to adopt the Uniform Public Offense Code with amendments as presented.

Commission Action:

Commissioner _____ moved to approve an Ordinance amending Section 9.04.010 of the El Dorado Municipal Code pertaining to traffic and incorporating, by reference, the “Uniform Public Offense Code” for Kansas Cities, 42nd Edition.

Commissioner _____ seconded the motion.

Advisory Board Recommendation:

NA

ORDINANCE NO. G-

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 9.04 OF TITLE 9, CHAPTER 9.04 OF THE EL DORADO MUNICIPAL CODE PERTAINING TO PUBLIC OFFENSES AND INCORPORATING BY REFERENCE THE “UNIFORM PUBLIC OFFENSE CODE” 42nd EDITION PROVIDING CERTAIN PENALTIES AND REPEALING PRIOR ORDINANCES

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF EL DORADO, KANSAS:

Section 1: That Section 9.04.010 Title 9, Chapter 9.04 of the El Dorado Municipal Code shall be replaced as follows:

Section 9.04.010: INCORPORATING PUBLIC OFFENSE CODE. There is hereby incorporated, by reference, for the purpose of regulating public offenses within the corporate city limits of the City of El Dorado, Kansas, that certain code known as the “Uniform Public Offense Code,” 42nd Edition, prepared and published by the League of Kansas Municipalities. No fewer than three copies of the “Uniform Public Offense Code” shall be marked “Official Copy as incorporated by the El Dorado Municipal Code,” which shall be filed with the City Clerk to be open to inspection and available to the public at all reasonable hours.

Section 2: Repeal.

All other ordinances or parts of other ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

Section 3: Effective Date.

This Ordinance shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the official city newspaper.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Governing Body of the City of El Dorado, Kansas, this 3rd day of August 2026.

Vice-Mayor

ATTEST:

Emerald Ashlock, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

City Attorney